

29. CLIMATE AND THE CONSTITUTION #2

Harrison H. Schmitt
July 2, 2010

For Immediate Release (See Related Release No. 10 of February 22, 2010)

Former Senator Schmitt Accuses National Academy of Conflicts of Interest in Study of Global Climate Change

Extraordinarily complex natural processes underlie changes in the Earth's climate. They represent decadal to millennial to epochal variations in weather patterns as nature continuously attempts to compensate for solar heating imbalances in and between the atmosphere, oceans, and landmasses.

Nature's attempts to restore heat balance at and near the Earth's surface take place under many complicating influences. These include the rotating Earth's seasonally variable orientation relative to the Sun; periodic differences in Earth's orbital positioning around the Sun; movement and release of heat stored in the oceans; atmospheric circulation; the Sun's variable irradiance and magnetic fields; frequent and unpredictable volcanic eruptions; and geologically slow but exorable redistribution and reconfiguration of land, ocean, and ice masses. No evidence exists that these natural processes have become more extreme in the face of climate change over the last several centuries. [1]

In this context of natural reality, the recent report, "America's Climate Choices," released May 19, 2010, by the National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences (NAS), illustrates how far that

formerly illustrious Academy has strayed from the principles of "science." Those principles are, simply: observe, hypothesize, test, analyze, retest, and repeat this cycle until plausible, objective conclusions appear to be warranted – conclusions that others or nature can replicate.

The Academy, in contrast, has become just another political arm of the governmental establishment, promoting a federal mandate of "major technological and behavioral change" based on flawed as well as selective science. The report's conclusions that "climate change is occurring, caused largely by human activities..." and that "the U.S. should act now to reduce greenhouse gas emissions" ignore contradictory tests of such hypotheses that come through objective observations.

Unfortunately, support for the Academy's political statements also comes from Alan Leshner, CEO of the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) [2]. The AAAS, in an Essay Review of books related to the climate change debate in its *Science* magazine [3], could not even bring itself to require consideration of books dissenting from the "consensus" that current climate change is human caused [4]. Both *Science* and its near twins, *Nature* and

EOS, continue to editorialize in support of the human-caused climate change hypothesis [5]. In addition, these publications allow the same biased commentary to be included routinely in reports of observational data and modeling runs.

In taking these political, non-scientific positions, the National Academy has joined another political body, the UN's International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), in attacking the heart of free institutions and economic prosperity. The Academy's and British Royal Society's Presidents and membership have exacerbated their loss of credibility rather than enhancing it [6] in defensive reactions and justifications after the 2009 public disclosure of fraud within the climate science political community [7]. The Royal Society takes a particularly disappointing and ironic position, as its founders' motto 350 years ago was to "accept nothing on authority [8]." The National Academy now has embarrassed itself further by using a statistical analysis of publication records as "scientific" justification of the so-called "consensus" that humans cause climate change [9].

Unfortunately, bias permeates both the reports and the published work reviewed in reports produced by the Academy and IPCC for the use of "policy makers." This bias follows from the dependency on government funding of so many climate researchers and bureaucrats as well as from the extra-constitutional political leanings of most academics today [10]. If grant applications from the researchers involved do not *propose* to show the effects of humans on climate, their proposals risk not being funded by bureaucrats that want justification for their grab for regulatory control. If the research conclusions do not *allege* an effect by humans on climate, however tenuous that effect might be, their career-essential papers probably

will not be published by politically committed journals. Not following liberal orthodoxy on climate change thus may create problems of tenure at home institutions.

If the recent climate science policy scandals [11] show nothing else, they show the existence of political bias as well as scientific fraud in the academic hierarchy of Western nations. Even the Academy's study of "America's Climate Choices" was funded by the leadership of the Congress and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), both of which have huge political and budgetary interests, respectively, in reaching the conclusion that humans cause modern climate change. 85% of the Academy's future study funding [12] depends on concluding what your political customers, the politicians and bureaucrats, want you to conclude.

On the other hand, Ralph J. Cicerone, President of the National Academy of Sciences, correctly states "that the state of climate change science is strong;" however, ironically, he refers to the wrong aspects of climate change science when he makes that statement. Recent international scientific conferences hosted by the Heartland Institute of Chicago, the broad compilation of information contained in *Climate Changed Reconsidered* [13], and an increasing body of published research data, documented in subsequent essays, shows that *observational* climate change science is indeed strong.

The results of this observational scientific research and analysis show that natural processes dominate changes in Earth's climate and it is that conclusion that should drive national policy. The last thing policy makers should rely on is guidance based on assumptions put into obviously flawed computer models. It is factually, professionally, and absolutely wrong for the former Chair-

man of the National Science Board to state in congressional testimony that there exist no “specifics, alternate hypotheses, and facts” contrary to the human-caused climate change hypothesis [14]. As statements in the NAS report confirms, a socialist political agenda drives government policy and that policy seeks control over all aspects of local as well as national economic activity, particularly energy production and use.

The climate debate should not be about whether human activity can affect local and even regional climate. Levels of stored organic carbon in soils have been reduced for thousands of years by agricultural activity [15], although new carbon retention practices in the United States and elsewhere have begun to mitigate this long-term trend. Asia’s rapid industrialization and the carbon soot deposited on Tibetan glaciers, the third largest accumulation of terrestrial ice, appears to be increasing the rate of melting of at least some of those glaciers [16]. An extreme decline in regional fish stocks appears to have resulted in more abundant phytoplankton and, in turn, in the drawdown of ocean carbon [17]. Regional urban pollution, such as that in and downwind from many large metropolitan areas, constitutes a continuing concern [18]; however, great progress has been made since the 1960s in reducing such pollution, particularly in the United States [19]. Other examples exist of human impact that may or may not affect climate, such as rainforest loss and possible stratospheric ozone depletion. Satellite observations and/or biological surrogates, however, have not yet revealed the long-term natural variability of stratospheric ozone [20] since the so called “ozone hole” over Antarctica was discovered. In the case of rainforest loss, although the long term effects on carbon emissions of such loss would be difficult to measure within the spectrum of carbon sources and sinks, logic

would suggest that massive loss of rainforest would not be the desirable outcome for various biological, economic, and esthetic reasons. Finally, in the last 100 years, declining fish populations may have resulted in foraminifera biomass increase in the North Atlantic.

What do we actually know about global climate variability over the part of Earth history most relevant to the present? Actually, we know a lot. Since the last Ice Age ended about 10,000 years ago [21] (the glacial maximum lasting between 33,000 and 19,000 years ago [22]), geological and tree ring records document prolonged periods of warmth and cold, ranging from 3000 years to a few hundred years in duration [23]. The Little Ice Age of 1400-1900 [24], following the Medieval Warm Period of 600-1300, recorded the last multi-century period of global cooling during that 10,000 years, although decades-long cooling has occurred several times since.

By 1400, Arctic ice pack had enclosed Iceland and Greenland and driven Viking settlers away from their farms on those islands [25]. By the end of the 1600s, in response to the earlier climate cooling, Alpine glaciers had advanced over valley farmlands cultivated after those same glaciers had receded during the Medieval Warm Period [26]. Indeed, all of the consequences of warming prior to 1300 reversed during the next several hundred years of the Little Ice Age.

Since about 1660, the middle of the last, 70 year-long phase of the Little Ice Age, global surface and near surface temperatures have risen an average of about 0.9 °F (0.5 °C) each 100 years [27]. In response, a general retreat of world glaciers has taken place over the last century or more, not just in the last decades of the 20th Century [28], re-

peating the documented pattern of the Medieval Warm Period.

The Arctic Ocean ice pack has retreated northward since about 1800 [29]. Since 1979 and the beginning of satellite monitoring, a continuous decline in ice pack area has been alleged [30]; but with the most obvious decline only starting in about 1998. 1998 also is about the time the current cycle of decadal Northern Hemisphere warming leveled off, a correlation suggesting that wind or ocean currents may be at play more than water temperature. It should be remembered in this context, that during the Medieval Warm Period, Arctic sea ice probably largely disappeared during some summers, depending on high latitude atmospheric circulation [31], and may do so in the future for natural reasons [32]. Similarly, though only on a decadal rather than a century scale, satellite observations since 1979 show that the decrease in the area of the Arctic ice pack since 1996 appears to have reversed from its 2007 summer minimum [33]. Antarctic sea ice also has retreated from the extent reported by explorers and whalers early in the 20th Century [34]. Antarctic sea ice, however, has been expanding northward for about two decades [35] after indications of an additional gradual decline following the 1950s [36]. Further, winter ice cover on the Great Lakes, although highly variable since satellite data became available in 1973, has been rising steadily since 2006 from its minimums in that year and in 2002 [37], consistent with the current trend in Arctic ice cover.

Since the last vestiges of the most recent major Ice Age about 11,600 years ago (the end of the Younger Dryas cold period [38]), decades-long periods of warming and cooling have been superposed on even longer cycles. The longest of these cycles repeats about every 1500 years and the shortest

about every 55-60 years [39]. These latter, short, multi-decade intervals of rapid warming and cooling [40] have occurred during the current, 350-year long general warming trend. The most recent short-term variations have been cooling between 1935 and 1975, warming between 1975 and 1995, and now cooling again since 2000.

In short, nothing other than ordinary natural climate variations have occurred since fossil fuel use accelerated in the 20th Century. General agreement exists among both climate change alarmists and climate change realists that most of the slow variations over the centuries before 1949 came from natural causes [41], with a general warming trend continuing the recovery from the extremes of the Little Ice Age. Then politics took over when definitive measurements of a steady increase in atmospheric carbon dioxide became available after 1960 [42]. Since then, “carbon dioxide,” an essential ingredient for life itself, has become a stalking-horse for increased government control of consumers, private business, industry, and the economy. Sadly, even the historic Geological Society of London, of which the author has been proud to be an Honorary Member, has jumped to the remarkably unscientific conclusion that the current rise in atmospheric carbon dioxide is human-caused, even after noting that nature has caused far greater increases in the past [43].

A new scientific concern arises from calls for global geo-engineering projects to cool climate [44] even though nature has done a great job of this in the past. Considering the limitations on our understanding of nature’s role in climate, much less the uncertainties of the effects of geo-engineering and its unintended consequences, no credence whatsoever should be wasted on its advocates of tinkering with the Sun’s interaction

with the Earth's atmosphere. Resources should be applied to dealing with the consequences of change and to gathering better observational information on what change to expect.

In the name of the impossible goal of climate control through taxes and regulation [45], many in Congress wish to vote on legislation that would seriously and unconstitutionally harm the American economy and employment dependent on the strength of that economy. The Environmental Protection Agency already has assumed unauthorized, unconstitutional, dictatorial powers to regulate carbon dioxide emissions as a pollutant. Unfortunately, the Supreme Court

has joined in this scientifically ridiculous intrusion into American liberty.

These continue to be dangerous times for liberty and constitutional protection of that liberty. Election battle lines have formed for America's long-term effort to restore and maintain constitutional principles and common sense in climate policy.

Harrison H. Schmitt is a former United States Senator from New Mexico as well as a geologist and Apollo 17 Astronaut. He currently is an aerospace and private enterprise consultant and a member of the new Committee of Correspondence.

References Cited in Text

1. **Compo, G. P., et al.**, 2011, "The Twentieth Century Reanalysis Project", *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 137, 624, p. 1-28; **Jolis, A.**, 2011, "The weather isn't getting weirder", "Opinion Europe", *Wall Street Journal*, February 10.
2. **AAAS Announcement to Members**, email, June 29, 2010; News and Notes, 2010, On Capitol Hill, researchers offer data to answer climate skeptics, *Science*, 328, p. 1121.
3. **Kitcher, P.**, 2010, The climate change debates, *Science*, 328, pp. 1230-1234.
4. **Spencer, R. W.**, *The Great Global Warming Blunder: How Mother Nature Fooled the World's Top Scientists*, Encounter Books, New York; **Spencer, R. W.**, 2009, *Climate Confusion*, Encounter Books, New York; **Carter, B.**, 2010, *Climate: The Counterconsensus – A Scientist Speaks*, Stacey International, London; **Solomon, L.**, 2008, *The Deniers*, Richard Vigilante Books, USA; **Idso, C. D., and S. F. Singer**, 2009, *Climate Change Reconsidered*, Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change; **Paltridge, G. W.**, 2009, *The Climate Caper*, Taylor Trade, Plymouth; **Plimer, I.**, 2009, *Heaven and Earth: Global Warming, the Missing Science*, Connor Court, Victoria, Australia; **Singer, S. F.**, 1999, *Hot Talk, Cold Science*, Independent Institute; **Horner, C. C.**, 2010, *Power Grab: How Obama's Green Policies Will Steal Your Freedom and Bankrupt America*, Regnery, Washington; **Booker, C.**, 2009, *The Real Global Warming Disaster*, Continuum International, New York; **Singer, S. F., and D. T. Avery**, 2007, *Unstoppable Global Warming: Every 1,500 Years*, Rowman & Littlefield, Plymouth; **Klaus, V.**, 2008, *Blue Planet in Green Shackles*, Competitive Enterprise Institute, Washington.

5. **Editorial**, Into ignorance, *Nature*, 471, p. 265-266; **Trenberth, K. E.**, 2010, Fixing the Planet, *Science*, 330, 1178-1179; **Lewis, S. L.**, 2010, How to beat the media in the climate street fight, *Nature*, 468, p. 7; **Casillas, C. E., and D. M. Kammen**, 2010, The energy-poverty-climate nexus, *Science*, 330, 1181-1182; **Showstack, R.**, 2010, House hearing on climate change presents sharply different views, *EOS*, 91, 48, p. 459.
6. **Lindzen, R. S.**, 2010, Global warming: How to approach the science (Climate models and the evidence?), Heartland Conference on Climate Change #4, Chicago, May 17, 2010; **Rees, M., and R. J. Cicerone**, 2010, What's happening to the climate is unprecedented, *Letters*, FT.com, April 9, 2010; **Gleick, P. H., et al.**, Climate change and the integrity of science, *Letters, Science*, 328, pp. 689-690; *Nature*, Climate of fear, Editorial, *Nature*, 464, p. 141.
7. **Editorial**, 2010, Closing the climategate, *Nature*, 468, p. 345.
8. **Rees, M.**, 2010, The Royal Society's wider Role, editorial, *Science*, 328, p. 1611.
9. **Kintisch, E.**, 2010, Critics are far less prominent than supporters, *News of the Week, Science*, 328, p. 1622.
10. **Horowitz, D., and E. Lehrer**, 2003, Political bias in the administrations and faculties of 32 elite colleges & universities, <http://www.studentsforacademicfreedom.org/news/1898/lackdiversity.html>.
11. **Adam, D.**, 2010, The hottest year, *Nature*, 468, 362-364; **Muller, R. L.**, <http://anhonestclimatedebate.wordpress.com/2011/03/10/hide-the-decline-explained/>.
12. See: <http://www.nationalacademies.org/about/faq1.html>
13. **Idso, C., and S.F. Singer**, 2009, *Climate Change Reconsidered*, Heartland Institute, Chicago, pp. 112-128.
14. **News and Notes**, 2010, *On Capitol Hill*, researchers offer data to answer climate skeptics, *Science*, 328, 1121.
15. **Brown, D. J., et al**, 2010, Soil organic carbon change monitored over large areas, *EOS*, 91, 47, 441-442.
16. **Qiu, J.**, 2010, Measuring the meltdown, *Nature*, 468, 141-142; **Quaas, J.**, 2011, The soot factor, *Nature*, 471, 456-457.
17. **Spielhagen, R. F., et al**, 2011, Enhanced modern heat transfer to the Arctic by warm Atlantic water, *Science*, 331, 450-453.
18. **Gurjar, B. R., et al**, 2010, Air quality in megacities, *The Encyclopedia of Earth*, April 30. http://www.eoearth.org/Article/Air_quality_in_megacities.

19. **National Academy of Engineering**, 2007. The Los Angeles experience, In: *Energy Futures and Urban Air Pollution: Challenges for China and the United States* (2007), *The National Academies Press*, p. 285 (http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=12001).
20. **Georgia Institute of Technology**, 2006, Atmospheric Ozone Recovering In Mid-latitudes, Report Shows. *ScienceDaily*, August 31, <http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2006/08/060830215811.htm>
21. **Steffensen, J. P., et al.**, 2008, High-Resolution Greenland Ice Core Data Show Abrupt Climate Change Happens in Few Years, *Science*, 321, 680-684.
22. **Clark, P. U., et al.**, 2009, The last glacial maximum, *Science*, 325, 710-714.
23. **Jones, P. D., et al.** 1998, High-resolution palaeoclimatic records for the last millennium, *Holocene*, 8, 4, 455-471; **Mann, M. E., et al.**, 1999, Northern Hemisphere Temperatures During the Past Millennium, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 26, 6, 759-762; **Dennis Avery**, Heartland Conference on Climate Change #2, New York, March 9-10, 2009; **McIntyre, S., and R. McKittrick**, 2005, Hockey sticks, principal components, and spurious significance, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32, No. 20; **Luckman, B. H.**, Geoscience of climate and Energy 6. Tree rings as temperature proxies, *Geoscience Canada*, 37, 1, 38-42; **Hocker, L.**, 2010, The temperature rise has caused CO2 increase, not the other way around, <http://wattsupwiththat.com/2010/06/09/a-study>.
24. **Fagan, B.**, 2000, *The Little Ice Age*, Basic Books, New York, pp.10-15; **Mann, M. E., et al.**, 2009, Global signatures and dynamical origins of the Little Ice Age and Medieval Climate Anomaly, *Science*, 326, 1256-1259 **Büntgen, U., et al.**, 2011, 2500 years of European climate variability and human susceptibility, *Science*, 331, 581.
25. **Goldberg, F.**, 2010, Some historical ice observations and future possible ice conditions in the Arctic, Heartland Conference on Climate Change #4, Chicago, May 17, 2010; **Polyakov, I., Akasofu, S.-I., et al.**, 2002. Trends and variations in Arctic climate system. *EOS, Transactions, American Geophysical Union* 83, p. 547-548; **Seaver, K. A.**, 1996, *The Frozen Echo: Greenland and the Exploration of North America ca. A.D. 1000-1500*, Stanford University Press; **Koch, L.**, 1945, *The East Greenland Ice*, Copenhagen, Meddelelser om Grønland, 130: 354p.; **Fagan, B.**, 2000, *The Little Ice Age*, Basic Books, New York, pp.10-15.
26. **Esper, J., E. R. Cook, F. H. Schweingruber**, 2002, Low-frequency signals in long tree-ring chronologies for reconstructing past temperature variability, *Science*, 295, 2250-2253; **Trouet, V., et al.**, 2009, Persistent positive North Atlantic Oscillation mode dominated the Medieval Climate Anomaly, *Science*, 324, pp. 78-80; **Akasofu, S.-I.**, 2007, Is the Earth still recovering from the “Little Ice Age”?, International Arctic Research Center, University of Alaska Fairbanks, abstract, May 7; **Fagan, B.**, 2000, *The Little Ice Age*, Basic Books, New York, pp. 3-51; **Cook, E.R., et al.**, 2004, Long-term aridity changes in the western United States, *Science*, 306, 1015-1018; **see also** Center for the Study of Car-

- bon dioxide and Global Change, 2009, Medieval Warm Period http://www.co2science.org/subject/m/subject_m.php; **Huss, M., et al.**, 2010, *Geophysical Research Letters*, doi:10.1029/2010GL042616(2010); **Idso, C., and S.F. Singer**, 2009, *Climate Change Reconsidered*, Heartland Institute, Chicago, pp. 72-98.
27. **Syun Akasofu**, Heartland Conference on Climate Change #2, New York, March 9-10, 2009; **Roy Spencer**, Heartland Conference on Climate Change #2, New York, March 9-10, 2009; **Michaels, P. J.**, 2010, Cap and trad regulation, legislation, and science, Heartland Conference on Climate Change #4, Chicago, May 17, 2010; **Carter, R. M.**, 2007, The myth of human-caused climate change, in Australasian Institute of Mining & Metallurgy, New Leaders Conference, Brisbane, May 2-3 2007, Conference Proceedings pp. 61-74. <http://members.iinet.net.au/~glrmc/2007%2005-03%20AusIMM%20corrected.pdf>; **Spielhagen, R. F., et al.**, 2011, Enhanced modern heat transfer to the Arctic by warm Atlantic water, *Science*, 331, p. 452.
 28. **Akasofu, S.-I.**, 2007, Is the Earth still recovering from the “Little Ice Age?”, International Arctic Research Center, University of Alaska Fairbanks, abstract, May 7.
 29. **Polyakov, I., Akasofu, S.-I., et al.**, 2002. Trends and variations in Arctic climate system. *EOS, Transactions, American Geophysical Union* 83, 547-548.
 30. **Serreze, M. C.**, 2011, Rethinking the sea-ice tipping point, *Nature*, 471, 47-48.
 31. **Morrison, J., et al.**, 2007, Recent trends in Arctic Ocean mass distribution revealed by GRACE, *Geophysical. Research Letters*, 34, L07602, doi:10.1029/2006GL029016.
 32. **Tietsche, S, et al.**, 2011, Recovery mechanisms of Arctic summer sea ice, *Journal of Geophysical Research Letters*, 38, L02707, doi:10.1029/2010GL045698(2011).
 33. **Goldberg, F.**, 2010, Some historical ice observations and future possible ice conditions in the Arctic, Heartland Conference on Climate Change #4, Chicago, May 17, 2010; **Chapman, W.**, 2008, The Cryosphere Today, Illinois Arctic Climate Research Center, <http://arctic.atmos.uiuc.edu/cryosphere/>; **Overland, J.**, 2009, International Arctic sea ice monitoring program continues into second summer, *EOS*, 90, 37, 321-322; **Lindsay, R.**, 2010, New unified sea ice thickness climate data record, *EOS*, 91, 44, 405-406; **University of Illinois / NOAA**, 2011, The Cryosphere Today, <http://arctic.atmos.uiuc.edu/cryosphere/>; **NOAA**, 2009, Ice Area NORSEX SSM/I, <http://oceans.pmel.noaa.gov/>.
 34. **Lansing, A.**, 1959, *The Endurance*, Carroll & Graf, New York.
 35. **Watkins, A.B., and Simmonds, I.**, 2000, Current trends in Antarctic sea ice: The 1990s impact on a short climatology, *Journal of Climate*, 13, 4441-4451; **Yuan, X., and D. G. Martinson**, 2000, Antarctic sea ice extent variability and its global connectivity, *Journal of Climate*, 13, 1697-1717; The Cryosphere Today, <http://arctic.atmos.uiuc.edu/cryosphere/>.

36. **Curran, M. A. J., et al.**, 2003, Ice core evidence for Antarctic sea ice decline since the 1950s, *Science*, 302, 1203-1205.
37. **Wang, J.**, 2010, Severe ice cover on Great Lakes during Winter 2008-2009, *EOS*, 91, 5, 41-42.
38. **Alley, R. B.**, 2000, The Younger Dryas cold interval as viewed from central Greenland, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 19, 213-226.
39. **Dennis Avery**, Heartland Conference on Climate Change #2, New York, March 9-10, 2009; **Easterbrook, D.**, 2010, The looming threat of global cooling, Heartland Conference on Climate Change #4, Chicago, May 17, 2010; **Sharp, G. D., L. Klyashtorin, and D. McLain**, Projecting climate changes and ecological responses, Heartland Conference on Climate Change #4, Chicago, May 17, 2010; **Patterson, T., A. Prokoph, G. Swindles, H. Roe, and A. Kumar**, 2019, Gleissberg Solar Cycle: Pacemaker of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation?, Heartland Conference on Climate Change #2, New York, March 9, 2010.
40. **Steffensen, J. P., et al.**, 2008, High-Resolution Greenland Ice Core Data Show Abrupt Climate Change Happens in Few Years, *Science*, 321, 680-684; **Fluckiger, J.**, 2008, Did you say “fast”?, *Science*, 321, 650-651.
41. **Karoly, D. J., et al.**, 2003, Detection of a human influence on North American climate, *Science*, 302, 1200-1203.
42. **Keeling, R. F.**, 2008, Recording Earth’s vital signs, *Science*, 319, 1771-1772.
43. **Summerhayes, C.**, 2011, Geological Society of London issues statement on climate change, *EOS*, 92, 5, p. 39.
44. **Michaelson, J.**, 1998, Geoengineering: A climate change Manhattan Project, *Stanford Environmental Law Journal*, January, 74-139; **Crutzen, P.**, 2006, Albedo enhancement by stratospheric sulfur injections: A contribution to resolve a policy dilemma, *Climate Change*, 77, 211-219, doi:10.1007/s10584-006-9101-y; **Johnson, K.**, 2009, Team Obama: We’ll consider geoengineering to fight climate change, *WSJ Blogs*, April 8; **Engelhaupt, E.**, 2010, Engineering a cooler Earth, *Science News*, June 5, p. 16-20; **Tollefson, J.**, Geoengineering faces ban, 2010, *Nature*, 468, 13-14; **Edenhofer, O.**, 2010, IPCC yet to assess geoengineering, Correspondence, *Nature*, 468, 508.
45. See **Hoffert, M. I.**, 2010, Farewell to Fossil Fuels?, *Science*, 329, 1292-1293; **Davis, S. J., et al.**, 2010, Future CO₂ Emissions and Climate Change from Existing Energy Infrastructure, *Science*, 329, 1330-1333; **Pielke, R., Jr.**, 2010, *The Climate Fix: What Scientists and Politicians Won’t Tell you About Global Warming*, Basic Books, New York, 288p.; **Greenberg, D.**, 2010, Our emissions obsession, *Nature*, 467, 526-527.